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## Proposed plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>Introduce notions of dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>Develop theory of dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>Introduction to differentiation and rectifiability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>To be determined by audience interest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Today

1. Agree some notation and terminology
2. Agree a list of ‘test’ examples
3. What properties should dimension have?
4. Define some dimensions
5. Test the definitions against (some of) our examples
Our base assumptions

We shall usually work in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the Euclidean metric. (And nearly always will specialise to the plane.) Most of the ideas however make sense in complete separable metric spaces.
Part 1: Examples of sets
Simple sets

1. $\emptyset$
2. finite set: $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$
3. $\{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
4. traditional geometric shapes (line segment, triangle, square, ...)

\[ \text{should have dimension zero} \]

\[ \text{dimension } 1 \quad 2 \quad (\text{filled}) \]
Cantor sets

Definition

\[ \frac{1}{3} \text{-Cantor set} = \bigcap E_i. \]

Some properties

- Uncountable, compact, totally disconnected (and perfect — no isolated points)
- Has zero length (whatever that means)
Cantor set

\( C = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \)

\[ L(C) \leq 2^k \times 3^{-k} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k \rightarrow 0 \]

- Length
- Number of intervals
- Length of intervals

Note: The length of the Cantor set \( C \) decreases to 0 as \( k \) approaches infinity.
Cantor sets in the plane

\[(\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{4}) - \text{Cantor set}\]

Has area \( \frac{1}{12} \). (length?)

Divide into 16 equal subsquares and keep corner ones (closed).
von Koch curve

Definition

Can define in terms of nested sets made up of $\Delta s$.

Some properties

• Has infinite length
• Has zero area
von Koch curve

Can generalize construction for different angles, $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{3}]$

$\theta = 0 \Rightarrow$ line segment

$\theta = \frac{\pi}{3} \Rightarrow$ filled triangle

$\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \Rightarrow$ self-similar curve
Sierpinski gasket

Has area zero and is compact (and perfect). An example of a universal set — contains a homeomorphic image of every compact planar curve.
A weird set

\[ E = \bigcup_{p, q \in \mathbb{Q}, \, r \in \mathbb{Q}^+} S((p, q), r). \]

(Where \( S((p, q), r) \) denotes the circle centre \((p, q)\) with radius \(r\).)

This set is dense in the plane and has area zero. Can we talk about tangents for this set?

Hard to draw!
Besicovitch and Kakeya sets

1. What is the area of the smallest shape within which it is possible to rotate a unit needle so that it is facing the opposite way.

2. What is the area of the smallest shape that contains a line segment in every direction.
Besicovitch and Kakeya sets

1. For each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a plane set $E$ with area at most $\epsilon$ inside which a unit segment may be moved continuously to lie in its original position but rotated through $\pi$. (Kakeya sets)

2. There is a plane set of area zero that contains a unit segment in every direction. (Besicovitch set)
Perron trees

By Perrontree2.jpg: Jazzamderivative work: Mikhail Ryazanov - This file was derived from Perrontree2.jpg.; Public Domain, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=24335166
Part 2: Dimension and measures
Properties expected of dimension

- Points are zero-dimensional
- Line segments are one-dimensional
- Squares (and other such ‘nice’ shapes) are two-dimensional
- Monotonicity: if \( E \subseteq F \), then \( \dim(E) \leq \dim(F) \).
- Finite stability: \( \dim(E \cup F) = \max\{\dim(E), \dim(F)\} \)
- Also nice to have countable stability:

\[
\dim \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \right) = \sup\{\dim(E_i) : i = 1, 2, \ldots\}.
\]
Suppose that $F$ is a (non-empty) bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Fix a scale $r > 0$. Consider the (almost disjoint) squares of side $r$, parallel to the axes that cover the plane.
Let $N_r(F)$ be the number of squares from this grid that meet $F$.

Then $r \mapsto N_r(F)$ is a well-defined, monotonic decreasing function of $r$ for $r > 0$. 
box dimension: line segment

\[ \frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{r} (C_0, 1) \leq \frac{6}{r} \]

(constants don't really matter; aim is to get the power of \( r \))
box dimension: square

$N_r([0,1]^2) \sim \frac{1}{r^2}$
box dimension: formal definition

Upper box dimension

\[ \overline{\text{dim}}_B(F) = \limsup_{r \downarrow 0} \left( \frac{\log N_r(F)}{\log r} \right). \]

Lower box dimension

\[ \underline{\text{dim}}_B(F) = \liminf_{r \downarrow 0} \left( \frac{\log N_r(F)}{\log r} \right). \]

If both limits exist and have a common value, then this is the box dimension, \( \text{dim}_B(F) \).
box dimension: Cantor set

For $0 < r \leq 1$ and choose $k$ so that $3^{-k-1} < r \leq 3^{-k}$, then

$$\frac{1}{3} 2^k \leq N_r(C) \leq 4 \times 2^k$$

So

$$\frac{\log \frac{1}{2} 2^k}{(k+1) \log 3} \leq \frac{\log N_r(C)}{-\log r} \leq \frac{\log 4 \times 2^k}{k \log 3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log N_r(C)}{-\log r} = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3} \tag*{\square}$$
box dimension: properties

- \( \dim(E) \leq \dim(F) \) if \( E \subseteq F \)
- Gives right value to sets such as line segments, smooth curves.
- Translations, rescalings, rotations and reflections do not change box dimension.
- Upper box dimension is finitely stable:
  \[
  \overline{\dim}_B(E \cup F) = \max\{\overline{\dim}_B(E), \overline{\dim}_B(F)\}.
  \]
- Lends itself to numerical estimation
- \( \dim_B(F) = \dim_B(\text{clos}(F)) \)

The last item is a problem: \( \dim_B(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]) = 1 \).
Estimating length: 1

Estimating length: spiral

Spiral
Estimating length: 2

Estimating length: any cover

If we estimate length by summing diameters of any cover:

\[ \text{diam}(U) = 1 \]
Estimating length

We get a better estimate of length by summing diameters of covering sets whose diameter is at most $r$, where $r$ is small:
definition: *r*-covers

**r-cover**

Let \( r > 0 \). A countable collection of sets \( \{ U_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is an *r*-cover of \( E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) if

1. \( E \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i \)
2. \( \text{diam}(U_i) \leq r \) for each \( i \in \mathbb{N} \).
For a set $A$ and $s \geq 0$, we define

$$|A|^s = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset, \\
1 & \text{if } A \neq \emptyset \text{ and } s = 0, \\
\text{diam}(A)^s & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$
Hausdorff measures

Definition (s-dimensional Hausdorff measure)

Suppose that \( F \) is a subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( s \geq 0 \). For any \( r > 0 \), we define

\[
\mathcal{H}_r^s(F) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |U_i|^s : \{U_i\} \text{ is an } r\text{-cover of } F \right\}.
\]

The \( s \)-dimensional Hausdorff measure is then given by

\[
\mathcal{H}^s(F) = \lim_{r \to 0} \mathcal{H}_r^s(F).
\]

(It is possible to show that \( s \)-dimensional Hausdorff measure is in fact a measure — we shall do this later.\(^1\).)

\(^1\) small print: Borel regular outer measure
**Simple examples**

1. For any $s \geq 0$, $\mathcal{H}^s(\emptyset) = 0$.

2. For any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

   $$\mathcal{H}^s(\{x\}) = \begin{cases} 
   1 & \text{if } s = 0, \\
   0 & \text{if } s > 0.
   \end{cases}$$

3. If $A$ is a countable set, then $\mathcal{H}^s(A) = 0$ unless $s = 0$. 

---

Let $\rho > 0$ and choose $0 < \varepsilon < \rho^s$. Then the part of $A$ can be covered by a set of balls $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon 2^{-i})^{1/s}$ and $(\varepsilon 2^{-i})^{1/s} < \rho$. Therefore, $\mathcal{H}^s(A) \leq \sum_i (\varepsilon 2^{-i})^{1/s} \times s \mathcal{H}^s(\{x\}) \leq \varepsilon < \rho^s \Rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \to 0$. 
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Properties of Hausdorff measure

1. If $s < t$ and $\mathcal{H}^s(F) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{H}^t(F) = 0$. (So for $t > n$, $\mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$.)

2. If $s$ is a non-negative integer, then $\mathcal{H}^s$ is a constant multiple of the usual $s$-dimensional volume. (counting measure, length measure, area, volume etc.) — we shall not prove this.
Properties of Hausdorff measure

1. If $0 < r < \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in E, \ y \in F\}$, then
\[
\mathcal{H}^s_r(E \cup F) = \mathcal{H}^s_r(E) + \mathcal{H}^s_r(F)
\]
and so, in this case,
\[
\mathcal{H}^s(E \cup F) = \mathcal{H}^s(E) + \mathcal{H}^s(F).
\]

2. Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and suppose that $f : F \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a mapping such that for some fixed constants $c$ and $\alpha$
\[
|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c|x - y|^\alpha \text{ whenever } x, y \in F.
\]
Then for each $s$, $\mathcal{H}^{s/\alpha}(f(F)) \leq c^{s/\alpha}\mathcal{H}^s(F)$. 
Hausdorff dimension

**Definition (Hausdorff dimension)**
For a set $F$, we define the Hausdorff dimension of $F$ by

$$\dim_H(F) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : \mathcal{H}^s(F) = 0\} = \sup\{s : \mathcal{H}^s(F) = \infty\}.$$  

**Observations**

1. Hausdorff dimension is defined for any set $F$ (unlike box dimension).
2. $\dim_H(\emptyset) = 0$
3. If $A$ is a countable set, then $\dim_H(A) = 0$. In particular, $\mathbb{Q}$ has Hausdorff dimension 0.
Properties of Hausdorff dimension

1. If $A \subseteq B$, then $\dim_H(A) \leq \dim_H(B)$.

2. If $F_1, F_2, F_3, \ldots$ is a (countable) sequence of sets, then

$$\dim_H \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \right) = \sup \{ \dim_H(F_i) : 1 \leq i \leq \infty \}.$$ 

3. For bounded sets $F$, $\dim_H(F) \leq \dim_B(F)$. 

Another simple example

Let $F$ be the compact set formed as the limit of the following construction.

So we split each square up into $3 \times 3$ subsquares and remove the $2 \times 2$ subsquares at the top right. What is $\dim_H(F)$?
Heuristic for finding what the dimension could be

Assume that $F$ has positive and finite $s$-dimensional Hausdorff measure when $s = \dim_H(F)$ and then represent $F$ as a finite disjoint union of scaled copies of $F$, $F_i$, say where $F_i$ is a copy of $F$ scaled by $\lambda_i$. Then

$$\mathcal{H}^s(F) = \mathcal{H}^s\left(\bigcup_i F_i\right) = \sum_i \mathcal{H}^s(F_i) = \sum_i \lambda_i^s \mathcal{H}^s(F).$$

Dividing through by $\mathcal{H}^s(F)$ then gives

$$1 = \sum_i \lambda_i^s$$

and solving this for $s$ gives the expected Hausdorff dimension, $\log 5 / \log 3$. 
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Covers provide an upper bound

Can use box dimension to find an upper bound, since

$$\dim_H(F) \leq \dim_B(F).$$
Upper bound for our example.
Digression: minimal measure theory

\((X, d)\), a metric space. (Usually complete and separable)

**Definition: (outer) measures**

A set function \(\mu: \{A : A \subseteq X\} \rightarrow [0, \infty]\) is a measure if

1. \(\mu(\emptyset) = 0\)
2. if \(A \subseteq B\), then \(\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)\)
3. \(\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)\)

**Definition: measurable set**

\(A \subseteq X\) is measurable if

\[\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap A) + \mu(E \setminus A)\] for each \(E \subseteq X\)
Basic results

Theorem

If \( \mu \) is a measure and \( \mathcal{M} \) is the \( \mu \)-measurable sets, then

1. \( \mathcal{M} \) is a \( \sigma \)-algebra. \([\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}, \text{ closed under complements and countable unions}]\)
2. if \( \mu(A) = 0 \), then \( A \in \mathcal{M} \)
3. if \( A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{M} \) are pairwise disjoint, then \( \mu(\bigcup A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) \)
4. if \( A_1, A_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{M} \), then
   - \( \mu(\bigcup A_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \mu(A_i) \), provided \( A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots \)
   - \( \mu(\bigcap A_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \mu(A_i) \), provided \( A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \cdots \) and \( \mu(A_1) < \infty \)
Regular measures

µ is a regular measure if for each \( A \subseteq X \), there is a µ-measurable set \( B \) with \( A \subseteq B \) and \( \mu(A) = \mu(B) \).

Lemma

Suppose that \( \mu \) is a regular measure. If \( A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots \), then

\[
\mu \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \mu(A_i).
\]
Identifying measurable sets

**Definition**

The family of Borel sets in a metric space $X$ is the smallest $\sigma$-algebra that contains the open subsets of $X$.

**Definition**

A measure $\mu$ is:

1. a **Borel measure** if the Borel sets are $\mu$-measurable
2. **Borel regular** if it is a Borel measure and for each $A \subseteq X$, there is a Borel set $B$ with $A \subseteq B$ and $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$. 
Theorem

Let $\mu$ be a measure on $X$. Then $\mu$ is a Borel measure if, and only if,

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B),$$

whenever $\inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\} > 0$.

Theorem

$\mathcal{H}^s$ is a Borel regular measure for each $s \geq 0$. 
Definition (support)

The support of a Borel measure $\mu$ is defined to be the smallest closed set $F$ for which $\mu(X \setminus F) = 0$.

$spt(\mu) = X \setminus \bigcup \{ U : U$ is open and $\mu(U) = 0 \}$.

Definition (Mass distribution)

A Borel measure $\mu$ is a mass distribution on the set $F$ if the support of $\mu$ is contained in $F$ and $0 < \mu(F) < \infty$. 
Mass distribution principle

Suppose that $\mu$ is a mass distribution on a set $F$ and for some real number $s$, there are $c > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ so that

$$\mu(U) \leq c |U|^s,$$

whenever $U$ is a set with $|U| \leq r_0$. Then $\mathcal{H}^s(F) \geq \mu(F)/c > 0$ and so $\dim_H(F) \geq s$. 
lower bound for our example

Plan

1. Use squares in construction of $F$ and Proposition 1.7 to define a mass distribution on $F$.
2. Show hypothesis of Mass Distribution Principle is satisfied.
3. Profit! (Or, at the least, deduce a lower bound on Hausdorff dimension of $F$.)
lower bound for $F$
Packing measures

Definition (packing)

\[ \{(x_i, r_i) : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \text{ is an } r\text{-packing of } E \text{ if} \]

1. \( x_i \in E \) for each \( i \)
2. \( 0 < r_i \leq r \) for each \( i \)
3. \( d(x_i, x_j) \geq \max\{r_i, r_j\} \text{ for } i \neq j \)
Definition (packing measure)

Let \( s \geq 0 \) be given and let \( E \subseteq X \). For \( r > 0 \), define

\[
\mathcal{P}_r^s(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^s : \{(x_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^{n} \text{ is an } r \text{-packing of } E \right\}
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{P}_0^s(E) = \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \mathcal{P}_r^s(E).
\]

The \( s \)-packing measure of \( E \) is given by

\[
\mathcal{P}^s(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_0^s(E_i) : E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \right\}.
\]

This defines a Borel regular measure.
**Definition (packing dimension)**

For $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the packing dimension of $F$ is given by

$$\dim_P(F) = \sup\{s : \mathcal{P}^s(F) = +\infty\}.$$  

Fortunately in $\mathbb{R}^n$...

**Theorem**

If $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then the packing dimension of $F$ is given by

$$\dim_P(F) = \inf \left\{ \sup_i \overline{\dim}_B(F_i) : F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i, \text{ } F_i \text{ bounded} \right\}.$$
Usage

• box commonly used in analysis of real-world phenomena
• Hausdorff dimension commonly used/investigated in pure mathematics
• packing dimension turns out to be important in the study of fine properties of probabilistic phenomena such as Brownian motion.
Some references


Problems

Homework, if interested.

1. Find an example of a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$\dim_B(E) < \dim_B(E).$$

2. Determine the Hausdorff, packing and box dimensions of

$$\bigcup_{p,q\in\mathbb{Q}, r\in\mathbb{Q}^+} S((p, q), r).$$

(Where $S((p, q), r)$ denotes the circle centre $(p, q)$ with radius $r$.)