

Toby C. O’Neil, Faculty of Mathematics and Computing, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK (e-mail: t.c.oneil@open.ac.uk)

## The dimension of visible sets

### 1 Introduction

Given a subset  $E$  of the plane, Urysohn [7, 8] defined the notion of linear accessibility for a point  $p \in E$ :  $p$  is *linearly accessible* if there is a non-degenerate line segment  $L$  that only meets  $E$  at the point  $p$ . In a sequence of papers, Nikodym [2, 3, 4] investigated the relationship between the set theoretic complexity of  $E$  and the set of linearly accessible points.

We consider a variant of this notion: for a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $K$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we define the visible part of  $K$  from the point  $x$  by

$$K_x = \{u \in K : [x, u] \cap K = \{u\}\},$$

where  $[x, u]$  denotes the closed line segment joining  $x$  to  $u$ . (This notion also makes sense in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and may also be extended to define visibility from affine subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .)

Clearly, since  $K_x$  is always a subset of  $K$ , the (Hausdorff) dimension of  $K_x$  is always at most the dimension of  $K$ . Our interest lies in determining whether any stronger relationship holds between  $\dim_H(K)$  and  $\dim_H(K_x)$  for ‘typical’ (in the sense of measure) points  $x$  in the plane.

Our first result (from [1]) shows that for sets of small enough Hausdorff dimension, visible sets usually have the same Hausdorff dimension as the original set.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $K$  be a compact subset of the plane. If  $\dim_H(K) \leq 1$ , then for (Lebesgue) almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,*

$$\dim_H(K_x) = \dim_H(K).$$

---

Key Words: visible sets, linearly accessible, connectedness, Hausdorff dimension.  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 28A80. Secondary 28A78, 31A15.

A version of this result also holds for visibility from points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : in this case 1 is replaced by  $n - 1$ .

The basic idea underlying the proof of this theorem is the observation that around a point  $x$  in the complement of  $K$  it is possible to project  $K$  radially onto a small circle around  $x$  that is disjoint from  $K$ . On observing that  $K_x$  projects to the same set on this circle and denoting this projection by  $\pi_x(K)$ , we easily verify that

$$\dim_H(\pi_x(K)) \leq \dim_H(K_x) \leq \dim_H(K).$$

We now use the generalised projection framework developed by Peres and Schlag in [6] to show that  $\dim_H(\pi_x(K)) = \dim_H(K)$  for almost every  $x$ . This implies the theorem.

In the same paper [1], we investigate some particular classes of compact connected sets in the plane and show that their visible sets almost surely have dimension one. For example, if  $K$  is an embedding into the plane of the graph of a continuous function from  $S^1$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $K_x$  has dimension one except for at most a single point in the plane. Similarly, if  $K$  is a quasicircle, then for *all* points lying in the complement of  $K$ ,  $\dim_H(K_x)$  is one. (A set  $K$  is a quasicircle if it is a closed Jordan curve and there is a constant  $m$  such that whenever  $x, y \in K$ , there is an arc of  $K$  connecting  $x$  and  $y$  lying entirely within the ball with centre  $x$  and radius  $m|x - y|$ .)

These examples motivated a general investigation of connected compact sets in the plane that culminated in the proof of the following theorem in [5].

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a compact connected set with  $\dim_H(K) > 1$ . Then for  $\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\dim_H(K) - \frac{3}{4}} < s \leq \dim_H(K)$ ,*

$$\dim_H\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \dim_H(K_x) > s\} \leq \frac{\dim_H(K) - s}{s - 1}.$$

In particular, this theorem shows that if  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a compact connected set containing at least two points, then for (Lebesgue) almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\dim_H(K_x) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\dim_H(K) - \frac{3}{4}}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on the idea that two distinct visible subsets of  $K$ , both of dimension strictly larger than one, must be ‘separated’ in some sense. Much of the paper [5] is concerned with proving such a result.

The first step in proving such a separation property is to observe that if the visible set  $K_x$  has dimension larger than  $s > 1$ , then there is a measure  $\nu_x$  living on  $K_x$  with  $\nu_x(B(u, r)) \leq r^s$  whenever  $u \in K_x$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , such that

for  $\nu_x$ -almost every point  $u$  in  $K_x$ , there are points of  $K_x$  lying approximately at a distance of at least  $r^{2-s}$  above and below  $u$  inside the cone with vertex  $x$  and just containing  $B(u, r)$ . After an appropriate uniformisation procedure this allows us to show that in a sufficiently small ball around a  $\nu_x$ -typical point of  $K_x$ , points visible from  $y$  are concentrated in a thin strip — this implies that the corresponding  $\nu_y$  mass of a (small) ball centred on  $K_x$  is small. This is the required ‘separation’ property, and it is now a routine (but long) argument involving energies to use this observation to prove the Theorem.

## 2 Open Problems

There are many directions for further investigation, and I only give a few of them here.

**Problem 2.1** *Is there a version of Theorem 1.2 that holds for totally disconnected compact sets? That is, if  $K$  is a totally disconnected set in the plane with Hausdorff dimension strictly larger than one, then is there a positive number  $c$  (depending upon  $\dim_H(K)$ ) such that for (Lebesgue) almost every  $x$  in the plane,*

$$\dim_H(K_x) \leq \dim_H(K) - c?$$

**Problem 2.2** *Is there a compact subset of the plane with Hausdorff dimension larger than one such that for a set of points of positive area, the visible sets each have dimension strictly larger than one?*

**Problem 2.3** *Is there a version of Theorem 1.2 that holds in higher dimensions? The only proof that I know of Theorem 1.2 uses the connectedness properties of the plane in an essential way.*

## References

- [1] Esa Järvenpää, Maarit Järvenpää, Paul MacManus, and Toby C. O’Neil, *Visible parts and dimensions*, *Nonlinearity* **16** (2003), no. 3, 803–818.
- [2] Otto Nikodym, *Sur les points linéairement accessibles des ensembles plans*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **7** (1925), 250–258.
- [3] ———, *Sur la mesure des ensembles plans dont tous les points sont rectilinéairement accessibles*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **10** (1927), 116–168.
- [4] ———, *Sur un ensemble plan et fermé dont les points qui sont rectilinéairement accessibles forment un ensemble non mesurable ( $B$ )*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **11** (1928), 239–263.

- [5] Toby C. O’Neil, *The Hausdorff dimension of the visible sets of connected compact sets*, Submitted to Transactions of the AMS, 2003.
- [6] Yuval Peres and Wilhelm Schlag, *Smoothness of projections, Bernoulli convolutions, and the dimension of exceptions*, Duke Math. J. **102** (2000), no. 2, 193–251.
- [7] Pavel Urysohn, *Problème 29*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **5** (1923), 337.
- [8] ———, *Sur les points accessibles des ensembles fermés*, Proceedings Amsterdam **28** (1925), 984–993.