PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Polysomally protected viruses

To cite this article: Michael Wilkinson et al 2021 Phys. Biol. 18 046009

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



IOP ebooks[™]

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection-download the first chapter of every title for free.

Physical Biology

OPEN ACCESS

CrossMark

RECEIVED 29 January 2021 REVISED

25 March 2021

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 7 April 2021

PUBLISHED 24 June 2021

24 June 2021

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Polysomally protected viruses

Michael Wilkinson^{1,2,*}, David Yllanes¹, and Greg Huber¹

Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, 499 Illinois Street, San Francisco, CA 94158, United States of America

² School of Mathematics and Statistics, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, United Kingdom

Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: michael.wilkinson@czbiohub.org

Keywords: narnavirus, ribosome, polysome, ambigram

Abstract

PAPER

It is conceivable that an RNA virus could use a polysome, that is, a string of ribosomes covering the RNA strand, to protect the genetic material from degradation inside a host cell. This paper discusses how such a virus might operate, and how its presence might be detected by ribosome profiling. There are two possible forms for such a *polysomally protected virus*, depending upon whether just the forward strand or both the forward and complementary strands can be encased by ribosomes (these will be termed type 1 and type 2, respectively). It is argued that in the type 2 case the viral RNA would evolve an *ambigrammatic* property, whereby the viral genes are free of stop codons in a reverse reading frame (with forward and reverse codons aligned). Recent observations of ribosome profiles of ambigrammatic narnavirus sequences are consistent with our predictions for the type 2 case.

1. Introduction

A canonical model for the structure of a virus [1] consists of genetic material encased in a capsid composed of a protein shell. A simpler model has also been observed, termed a narnavirus (this term is a contraction of 'naked RNA virus'). The narnavirus examples that have been characterised appear to be single genes, which code for an RNA-dependent RNApolymerase (abbreviated as RdRp) [2]. It appears to be advantageous to the propagation of a virus if the genetic material can be encapsulated at some stage in its replication cycle, and it appears natural to ask whether some very simple RNA viruses could co-opt part of the machinery of the host cell in order to build a container. The most natural candidate is to make a covering out of ribosomes, which already contain an internal channel that can bind to RNA. If viral RNA can be completely covered with a chain of ribosomes, it could be well protected from defence mechanisms of host organism, because the exterior of the package presents molecules which are part of the host cells. This paper discusses how a class of very simple viruses could make a container for their genetic material out of ribosomes, resulting in a class of RNA viral systems which are, in some sense, intermediate between narnaviruses and conventional viruses. The covering structure, consisting of a chain of ribosomes attached

to the viral RNA, would be analogous to a polysome [3-6], and for this reason we shall refer to these systems as 'polysomally protected viruses', abbreviated hereafter as PolyProV. Such structures could be reservoirs of viral RNA which can be protected from degradation and hidden from defence mechanisms that might detect viral RNA. These protected viruses can be propagated 'vertically' by cell division. The possibility that viral RNA could be shielded by a layer of ribosomes was discussed in a recent propular article [7], and is also mentioned in a recent preprint [8]. It is the purpose of this work to discuss the mechanism by which this can be realised, and the how it can be detected by ribosome profiling.

A conventional polysome is an open system where ribosomes move along the RNA chain [3-6], synthesising a polypeptide chain as they go, and eventually detach from one end, see figure 1(a), or when they encounter a stop codon. The type of encapsulation that we propose is one where the ribosomes are stuck in position. This means that we must hypothesise a mechanism which creates the polysome shell by preventing ribosomes from detaching from the 3' end of the viral RNA (figure 1(b)), thus creating a 'frozen' polysome. We propose that ribosomes attach to the 5' end of the viral RNA chain and move along the RNA chain until they form a string of ribosomes which are in close contact, like a string of pearls (figure 1(c)).





Cells have machinery to release ribosomes which are not functioning efficiently [9]. In particular, 'stalled' ribosomes are released by a process known as 'no-go decay', abbreviated as NGD, which is an active field of study [10-13]. The polysomally protected virus system would have to either disrupt the NGD process, or else infect cells where this process is defective. Given the complexity of the machinery required to implement 'no-go decay', it must have many potential vulnerabilities.

We can imagine two forms of this class of virus. In its simplest form, termed PolyProV1, a polysomal sheath is only able to cover the forward strand of the RNA. Creation of a complementary strand is a necessary part of the replication cycle of the viral RNA, and in the simplest form, the complementary strand is not protected. We can also propose that there exists a type of this viral system, denoted by PolyProV2, where both the forward and complementary strands can be protected by being encased in a chain of ribosomes.

We discuss what would be the characteristic properties of such a system, and how their presence might be detected. Both types, PolyProV1 and PolyProV2, may show distinctive signatures under 'ribosome profiling' (see [14–17] for a discussion of this technique), and we give an indication of what might be expected. We remark that recent experiments on a narnavirus system *Culex narnavirus* 1, reported in [8],



Figure 2. (a) In ribosome profiling experiments, polysomes are disrupted and the RNA fragments which are under the 'shadow' of a ribosome (approximately 30 nt long) are polymerised and sequenced. (b) If the ribosomes are moving along the polysome, the fragments containing a given base (indicated by *x*) will have that base positioned at any point within the fragment. Some of these fragments contain another base *y*, whereas others do not. (c) If the polysomes are jammed, all of the fragments containing a given base will be very similar in structure, and have the base *x* located at approximately the same position within the fragment. In this case the fragments that contain base *x* almost always contain base *y*, but base *z* is always found on a different fragment.

show precisely the type of ribosome profile signatures that we describe, without explaining their form. (figure 3 of their paper shows the phenomenon that we explain in section 3 below, leading to distinctive profile features illustrated schematically in our figures 3 and 4). We also argue that, in the case of PolyProV2 systems, there would be a very distinctive signature in the genetic code of the virus. The formation of a polysome which covers the whole of the strand requires that the RNA sequence should not have any stop codons (that is, it should have an open reading frame, abbreviated as ORF). The genes of the PolyProV2 system would therefore have to have a reading frame which is devoid of stop codons on the complementary strand, as well as the forward strand. We have previously discussed the evolution of genetic sequences which are 'ambigrammatic', that is, readable in both forward and reverse directions, showing that stop codons in the reverse-read direction can be eliminated even if the amino-acid sequence of a gene is strictly conserved [18]. We argue that a recent

observation of two ambigrammatic sequences in the *C. narnavirus* 1 system reported in [8, 19] is a very strong candidate to be a PolyProV2 type virus.

Ambigrammatic sequences have been observed in narnavirus systems [7, 8, 18-21], and it is possible that the ORF on the complementary strand code for a functional protein. In a separate paper [21] we shall discuss criteria based upon statistical studies of polymorphism which could distinguish the PolyProV2 system from a narnavirus which has a functional gene on the complementary strand. Our results for C. narnavirus 1 and for Zheijiang mosquito virus 3 indicate that the complementary strands do not code for a functional protein. These two likely candidates for polysomally protected viruses are both narnaviruses, which along with viroids and virusoids [22], are the simplest infectious agents. However, the device of using a covering of ribosomes to create a reservoir of viral RNA to facilitate vertical transmission is something which could be adopted by more sophisticated viruses. Polysomal protection may eventually be found to be a commonly occurring mode of virus propagation.

Most theoretical studies of polysomes have emphasised models based upon the totally asymmetric exclusion process [23-27], and some of these papers have considered phases where there are 'traffic jams' formed by slowly moving ribosomes. Our theory considers a quite different phenomenon, where the ribosomes are *stationary* because their release at the 3' end is blocked.

2. Predicted properties

Let us assume that an RNA virus does use a 'frozen' polysome to create a covering out of ribosomes, and consider what are the plausible consequences of this hypothesis. There are two questions that should be addressed. Firstly, how is the frozen polysome created? And secondly, is it possible to protect the complementary strand as well as the coding strand of the virus?

2.1. Creating the polysomal sheath

The most natural hypothesis about the mechanism to create frozen polysomes is that ribosomes are prevented from detaching from the 3' end of the RNA. The simplest mechanism for this is for there to exist a macromolecule (a protein, or an RNA segment) which binds to the 3' end of the viral RNA to block ribosomes from detaching. At least one gene would be required to code for this 'end-stop' macromolecule.

The mechanism which freezes polysomes must have a quite specific switch, which can distinguish virus RNA from the host mRNA (if this were not the case, then the 'end-stop' would inhibit all translation processes indiscriminately, damaging the host cell). The required specificity would have to be achieved by a signalling sequence in the virus RNA, such that





the end-stop only binds when the signalling sequence is present. The only plausible location for the signal sequence is at the 3' end of the virus RNA chain, where the end-stop protein will bind.

These considerations imply that the simplest polysomal virus would have two genes, one to make the RdRp to replicate the virus, and the other one to make a blocking molecule to stop ribosomes from detaching from the 3' end of the viral RNA. In addition, there must be a recognition sequence at the 3' end of the virus chain. Eukaryotic cells have mechanisms for releasing 'stalled' ribosomes [9–13], and

if polysomally protected viruses exist, that may be associated with other virus genes which disrupt the mechanisms which release stalled ribosomes.

It is important to note that this picture implies a mechanism whereby the ribosomes are switched from replicating more viral RNA to acting as a shield. In the initial stages of infection of a cell, the RdRp will replicate viral RNA freely. The products of this process will include the molecule which binds to the viral RNA, having the effect of blocking further transcription. As the viral load inside a cell increases, the blocker molecules bind to the 3' ends of viral RNA creat-





ing a reservoir of viral RNA which is protected from degradation.

This mechanism creates a reservoir of viral RNA inside a cell which is protected from degradation by being covered by ribosomes. There must, in turn, be a route for the protected viral RNA to become active again. The simplest possibility is that the binding of the blocker molecule to the 3' end is reversible, and so that transcription of viral RNA re-commences when the concentration of the blocker molecule decreases.

2.2. The ambigrammatic advantage: protecting the complementary strand

Replication of the virus RNA by the RdRp requires making a complementary copy. In addition to protecting the coding strand of the RNA, the polysomal virus could also evolve so that the complementary strand can be protected. Let us consider the additional features that are required to convert a PolyProV1 system, where just one strand is protected, to a PolyProV2 system, where both the forward and the complementary strands can be enclosed by a frozen polysome.

For a typical RNA sequence there will be stop codons on the complementary strand which would cause ribosomes to detach, preventing the RNA sequence from becoming shielded inside a polysome. This can be avoided if the RNA sequence is *ambigrammatic*, in the sense that it is readable, without encountering stop codons, in both a forward and reverse reading frame. Recently, it has been shown that it is always possible to create an ambigrammatic sequence by substitution of codons by synonyms [18]. This mechanism gives a rapid route to evolving an ambigrammatic sequence, without detriment to the function of genes translated in the forward direction. The ORF for the complementary strand must have the its codons aligned with the ORF for transcription on the forward strand [18].

There is an additional requirement for the complementary strand to be protected: the 3' end of the complementary strand has to have a recognition sequence to signal the end-stop protein to attach itself. This implies that there is a reverse complement of a valid recognition sequence at the 5' end of the coding strand. The simplest implementation of this is if the 5' end has a sequence which is the reverse complement of the recognition segment at the 3' end of the coding strand.

In this context, we remark that narnaviruses typically have a sequence CCCC at the 3' end, and a complementary sequence GGGG at the 5' end. These sequences have been shown to be important for the propagation of narnaviruses, [28, 29], but the mechanism which makes these termination sequences important has not been clear.

Finally, consider the evolution of a PolyProV2 system from a PolyProV1 virus. The reversecomplement recognition sequence would have to exist on the 5' end as a pre-requisite, but once this is in place, a partially ambigrammatic sequence can confer a partial advantage, so that the ambigrammatic property can evolve incrementally. There is no requirement for the reverse-read sequence to code for a functional protein. Beyond the requirement that there are no stop codons, there need not be any selective pressures on the reverse-read sequence.

3. Identification of PolyProV virus systems

Next we consider the general principles which could be used to provide evidence for the existence of a PolyProV virus system. There are two approaches which could be used.

Because the defining feature of PolyProV viruses depends upon their interaction with ribosomes, ribosome profiling techniques should be important. In particular, we should address how these would distinguish ribosomes which have become 'frozen' from those where translation is progressing. This approach could detect both PolyProV1 and PolyProV2 systems.

The other approach is to use evidence from sequencing the viral RNA. The existence of ambigrammatic genes would be an indicator of a PolyProV2 system. (Because viruses undergo rapid mutations, the ambigrammatic property would not be conserved if it were not being used for some purpose, so that PolyProV1 systems are highly unlikely to be ambigrammatic.) In this case we need to consider how to distinguish signatures of a PolyProV2 virus system from other possible explanations of ambigrammatic sequences.

3.1. Ribosome profiling

Ribosome profiling techniques [14, 15] are based upon mechanical disruption of polysome complexes formed by ribosomes and RNA, followed by RNA sequencing. The mechanical disruption creates RNA fragments which represent sections of the RNA strand which were covered by ribosomes moment when the polysome was disrupted, as shown schematically in figures 2(a) and (b). The segments which lie under the 'shadow' of a ribosome are amplified and sequenced. These segments are sufficiently long (approximately 30 nt) that their position in the genome can be (in almost all cases) uniquely determined. Ribosome profiling data is often illustrated by plotting the frequency for counting fragments containing a base x as a function of the position of the base on the RNA chain. Higher counts are expected in regions where the ribosomes move more slowly, and a typical ribosome profile plot has an appearance similar to the sketch in figure 3(a).

Consider how this technique can reveal jammed ribosomes, as indicated in figure 1(c). In order to understand the form of the expected profile, it is necessary to appreciate that the measured profile is a product of two factors: the desired signal, which is the ribosome coverage over a given nucleotide, must be multiplied by a factor which represents the amplification number of the RNA fragments. The latter is related to the fragment sequence in a manner which is deterministic, but where the actual relationship is unknown. For this reason, the polymerisation amplification factor of a segment must be regarded as a random variable. There is, however, one simple observation that we can make about the amplification number. Because amplification involves successive replications of both the segment and its reverse complement, the amplification factor of the reverse complement of a segment must be highly correlated with that of the segment itself.

Now consider the ribosome profile resulting from stalled ribosomes. According to the PolyProV model, ribosomes will be prevented from detaching from the 3' end, and will form a close-packed array along the viral RNA, resembling a string of pearls. Our model predicts that all of the ribosomes which are attached to the viral RNA would be located with their centres at quite narrowly defined positions on the RNA chain. If the ribosome profile were simply a reflection of the ribosome occupation at a locus, it would be constant. We should, however, take account of differences between the amplification factors of the segments. Upon fragmentation, the region occupied by each ribosome would produce populations of similar fragments from all of the viral RNA molecules, as illustrated in figure 2(c). In particular, all of the bases under the shadow of a stalled ribosome are represented by the same population of RNA fragments, which have the same amplification factor. As we move along the chain, we encounter nucleotides which are under the shadow of an adjacent ribosome, and which are represented by a different RNA fragment, with a different amplification factor. At this point, the sequences which are being PCR amplified change abruptly. The replication rate of the new sequence is likely to be different, so that the heights of the plateaus will be different, forming an apparently random sequence, as illustrated in figure 3(b). The plateaus all have the same width, approximately 35 nt. This is in contrast to the results of ribosome profiling from an mRNA molecule which is being translated, where the there are many different fragments containing a given base.

Our discussion of the ribosome profile of a Poly ProV virus assumes that most of the ribosomes which are attached to viral RNA is stalled. The experimental data in [8] (figure 3) are very similar to the schematic illustration in figure 3(b). It is possible that some small fraction of the viral RNA is still being translated by moving ribosomes, while the 'plateau' profile is visible, but the experiments suggest that most of the viral RNA which is in contact with ribosomes is in the 'stalled' state. The experiments reported in [8] suggest that only a small fraction of the viral RNA is bound to ribosomes, but that the bound fraction is mainly attached to stalled ribosomes. Current technology does not extend ribosome profiling to the single-cell level. If this becomes available, it may be possible to deduce how the viral infection progresses within a cell.

The separation of the frozen ribosomes may be subject to variations, because different base sequences

bind to the ribosomes in a slightly different configuration. It is also possible that the length of the RNA strand which is covered by a ribosome might fluctuate as a function of time. These fluctuations would accumulate as we move further from the 3' end. In this case the plateaus in the ribosome profile plot would become less distinct as we move further from the 3' end, as illustrated in figure 3(c). The extent to which the ribosome profile plots would resemble figure 3(c) rather than figure 3(b) would have to be determined by experiment, but it would be expected to be a consistent feature of PolyProV systems.

In the case of a PolyProV2 system, the ribosome profile plot for the reverse strands would also show a sequence of plateaus, which would be most distinct at the 5' end of the chain. If the plateaus in the profiles of both forward and complementary chains overlap, they could be 'in phase', or 'out of phase', or somewhere in between. For example, if the length of the region occupied by a ribosome is dependent on the sequence of bases that the ribosome covers, there will be apparently random variations in the lengths of the plateaus illustrated in figure 3(b), and the plateaus for the forward and complementary strands will be in phase over part of their length, and out of phase in other regions, as shown in figure 4. When the ribosome shadows of the forward and reverse strands are in phase, the segments which are amplified by the PCR process are complements of each other. When the plateaus are in phase, we expect the heights of the plateaus for the forward and complementary chains to be significantly correlated, because the polymerisation reaction involves multiple replications of both forward and complementary images of the fragments. In the regions where the ribosome shadows are outof-phase, as in the centre section of the strand shown schematically in figure 4, the plateau heights of ribosome profiles from the forward and reverse strands will be uncorrelated.

3.2. Ambigrammatic sequences

We have proposed that a PolyProV2 system could be detected by finding ambigrammatic viral genes in sequencing studies. The detection of ambigrammatic sequences is an unambiguous signal, and it is one which has already been observed in RNA virus sequences [7, 8, 18–21]. It is necessary, however, to consider whether alternative explanations are viable.

The possible explanations for observation of an ambigrammatic viral RNA sequence fall into two classes. It might be that the reverse-readable sequences are expressed as proteins, which serve some function in facilitating the propagation of the virus, for example, the protein might poison defence mechanisms of the host cell, or it might form a complex with the viral RNA which provides some protection. The other possibility is that the ambigrammatic property provides some other advantage, without necessarily being expressed as a protein. The lack of stop codons facilitates the association of ribosomes with the complementary RNA strand, so any plausible mechanism would have to involve ribosomes in some way.

There are three lines of evidence which can help to decide on the mechanism. The theory of the PolyProV2 system is consistent with the evolution of the complementary strand sequence being neutral, because there is no role for the amino-acid sequence coded on the complementary chain (although some of the protein may be translated). One test of whether a sequence codes for a protein is to look at the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous mutations which will be denoted by $R = \Delta N / \Delta S$, (where ΔN and ΔS are, respectively, the number of non-synonymous and synonymous mutations). We expect R to be small when a readable base sequence is a functional gene coding for a protein, and the *R* value for the forward sequence which codes for the RdRp is very small, indicating that this gene is strongly conserved. If both the forward and the complementary strands code for a protein, we might expect mutations which are synonymous for both forward and reverse transcription would be better tolerated. We shall report in detail upon an investigation of this approach elsewhere [21]. For both the C. narnavirus 1 and Zheijiang mosquito virus 3, the evidence indicates that the complementary strands of known ambigrammatic virus segments do not code for functional proteins.

Ambigrammatic sequences have been observed in a variety of simple RNA virus genomes [7, 8, 18–21], but they are undoubtedly a rare phenomenon. Given that ambigrammatic sequences are rare, if two or more genes within a virus infection system are found to be ambigrammatic, this would be very unlikely to be the result of two functional genes arising on the complementary strand. An observation of the simultaneous detection of two or more ambigrammatic genes would strongly favour models, such as the PolyProV2 model, where there is an advantage in evolving an ambigrammatic sequence which is independent of whether the complementary strand open reading frames are translated into functional proteins.

Finally, finding some evidence for end recognition sequences would be an important part of validating the PolyProV model. It is reported that narnavirus sequences are typically terminated by CCCC at the 3' end and GGGG (an exact reverse complement) at the 5' end. This observation suggests that CCCC and GGGG may be the recognition sequences, and that these are already present in many simple virus systems.

3.3. A candidate PolyProV2 system

Recently, a mosquito-hosted narnavirus system (C. narnavirus 1) has been found to be associated with *two* ambigrammatic genes [8, 19]. It has properties which make it a strong candidate to be a

polysomal virus (see [8, 19] for a discussion of the experimental evidence):

- (a) There is a viral RNA segment which codes for the RdRp, and which resembles a narnavirus, but which has the property of being ambigrammatic, with forward and reverse codons aligned.
- (b) Infection with this sequence is strongly associated with the presence of another RNA sequence, which was referred to in [19] as the 'Robin' sequence.
- (c) The Robin sequence is also ambigrammatic over its entire length (about 850 nt), with the codons of the forward and reverse ORFs aligned. Neither forward nor reverse directions are homologous to known sequences.
- (d) Ribosome profiling experiments show a 'plateau' structure [8], which closely resembles that which is sketched in figure 3(b). The plateaus are seen in ribosome profiles of both the RdRp gene and the Robin sequence. There is no evident loss of definition of the plateaus on moving away from the 3' end, as illustrated in figure 3(c). This indicates that the packing of the ribosomes is very tight.
- (e) The ribosome profile experiments detect the complementary strand of both the RdRp and the Robin sequence. Both of the complementary strands have ribosome profiles with plateaus.
- (f) When the ribosome profiles of the forward and complementary strands are compared, the heights of the plateaus are correlated when they are in phase with each other, as illustrated in figure 4.
- (g) The companion and RdRp coding sequence share the feature of having complementary terminal sequences: both the RdRp and companion segments have one end terminating with CCCC, while the opposite end terminates GGGG.

These features are consistent with the properties of a PolyProV2 type virus system, as described above. In particular the fact that the two sequences are strongly correlated strongly implies that both are required for a viable infection. There is no evidence (in the form of overlapping fragments) that the two RNA molecules are ever found together as a single chain. There is also no evidence supporting the existence of any form of encapsulation of the two chains together. The observations are consistent with an infection by a system of two symbiotic viral RNA fragments. The natural hypothesis is that the Robin fragment is responsible for creating the molecule which blocks ribosome detachment. This fragment might encode a protein which has this role, or it might act directly in its RNA form with the RdRp-coding gene.

There is evidence that the reverse open reading frame is translated [8], although not into a functional

protein [21]. This is an overhead which does reduce the capacity of the cell to make functional viral proteins, and which would have to be balanced against whatever advantage arises from hiding both strands of the virus RNA.

Both the narnavirus component and the 'Robin' segment contain GGGG and CCCC on their ends suggesting, that the CCCC tetragram is the controlling switch to prevent detachment of the ribosome. The fact that these terminations are widely distributed in narnaviruses indicates that the ambigrammatic variants may be using a pre-existing feature as their recognition signal.

4. Discussion

We have proposed that viral RNA can be protected from degradation inside polysomes if these are 'frozen'. This hypothesis explains recent observations [8] of distinctive ribosome profiles of some narnaviruses. It also explains the existence of ambigrammatic sequences, because both phases of replication of an ambigrammatic gene can be protected. The use of protective polysome coverings may prove to be a widely distributed property of viral systems.

Author contributions

MW produced a draft of the manuscript following discussions with the other authors about the recent discovery of a narnavirus system which has two ambigrammatic genes. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript, and reviewed the manuscript before submission.

Acknowledgments

We thank Hanna Retallack and Joe DeRisi for discussions of their experimental studies of narnaviruses. GH and DY were supported by the Chan Zuckerberg Biohub; MW thanks the Chan Zuckerberg Biohub for its hospitality. We thank an anonymous referee for some interesting comments and suggestions, which were reflected in a revision of our manuscript.

Data availability statement

All data that support the findings of this study are included within the article (and any supplementary files).

ORCID iDs

Michael Wilkinson b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5131-9295

David Yllanes bhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-7276-2942

Greg Huber **b** https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8565-3067

References

- Cobián Güemes A G, Youle M, Cantú V A, Felts B, Nulton J and Rohwer F 2016 Viruses as winners in the game of life *Annu. Rev. Virol.* 3 197–214
- [2] Hillman B I and Cai G 2013 The family narnaviridae Mycoviruses (Advances in Virus Research Vol 86) ed S A Ghabrial (Amsterdam: Elsevier) pp 149–76
- [3] Noll H 2008 The discovery of polyribosomes *Bioessays* 30 1220–34
- [4] Singh U N 1996 Polyribosome dynamics: size-distribution as a function of attachment, translocation and release of ribosomes *J. Theor. Biol.* **179** 147–59
- [5] Christensen A K and Bourne C M 1999 Shape of large bound polysomes in cultured fibroblasts and thyroid epithelial cells *Anat. Rec.* 255 116–29
- [6] Brandt F, Etchells S A, Ortiz J O, Elcock A H, Hartl F U and Baumeister W 2009 The native 3D organization of bacterial polysomes *Cell* 136 261–71
- [7] Cepelewicz J 2020 Quanta magazine URL https:// quantamagazine.org/new-clues-about-ambigram-viruseswith-strange-reversible-genes-20200212/
- [8] Retallack H, Popova K D, Laurie M T, Sunshine S and DeRisi J L 2020
- [9] Joazeiro C A P 2017 Ribosomal stalling during translation: providing substrates for ribosome-associated protein quality control Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 33 343–68
- [10] Matsuo Y *et al* 2017 Ubiquitination of stalled ribosome triggers ribosome-associated quality control *Nat. Commun.* 8 159
- [11] Rendón O Z *et al* 2018 Vms1p is a release factor for the ribosome-associated quality control complex *Nat. Commun.* 9 2197
- [12] Juszkiewicz S, Chandrasekaran V, Lin Z, Kraatz S, Ramakrishnan V and Hegde R S 2018 ZNF598 is a quality control sensor of collided ribosomes *Mol. Cell* 72 469–81
- [13] Navickas A, Chamois S, Saint-fort R, Henri J, Torchet C and Bénard L 2020 No-Go Decay mRNA cleavage in the ribosome exit tunnel produces 5'-OH ends phosphorylated by Trll Nat. Commun. 11 122
- [14] Ingolia N T, Ghaemmaghami S, Newman J R S and Weissman J S 2009 Genome-wide analysis *in vivo* of translation with nucleotide resolution using ribosome profiling *Science* 324 218–23

- [15] Ingolia N T, Brar G A, Rouskin S, McGeachy A M and Weissman J S 2012 The ribosome profiling strategy for monitoring translation *in vivo* by deep sequencing of ribosome-protected mRNA fragments *Nat. Protoc.* 7 1534–50
- [16] Brar G A and Weissman J S 2015 Ribosome profiling reveals the what, when, where and how of protein synthesis *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 16 651–64
- [17] Ingolia N T 2016 Ribosome footprint profiling of translation throughout the genome Cell 165 22–33
- [18] DeRisi J, Huber G, Kistler A, Retallack H, Wilkinson M and Yllanes D 2019 An exploration of ambigrammatic sequences in narnaviruses *Sci. Rep.* 9 17982
- [19] Batson J, Dudas G, Haas-Stapleton E, Kistler A L, Li L M, Logan P, Ratnasiri K and Retallack H 2020 (Posted 13 Feb) 2020 bioRxiv Preprint https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.10. 942854
- [20] Cook S et al 2013 Novel virus discovery and genome reconstruction from field RNA samples reveals highly divergent viruses in Dipteran hosts Plos One 8 e80720
- [21] Dudas G, Huber G, Wilkinson M and Yllanes D 2021 Virus Evol. 7 veab038
- [22] Symons R H 1991 Current review the intriguing viroids and virusoids: what is their information content and how did they evolve? *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 4 111–21
- [23] Lakatos G and Chou T 2003 Totally asymmetric exclusion processes with particles of arbitrary size J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36 2027
- [24] Chou T and Lakatos G 2004 Clustered bottlenecks in mRNA translation and protein synthesis *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93 198101
- [25] Lakatos G, Chou T and Kolomeisky A 2005 Steady-state properties of a totally asymmetric exclusion process with periodic structure *Phys. Rev.* E 71 011103
- [26] Dao Duc K, Saleem Z H and Song Y S 2018 Theoretical analysis of the distribution of isolated particles in totally asymmetric exclusion processes: application to mRNA translation rate estimation *Phys. Rev.* E 97 012106
- [27] Erdmann-Pham D D, Dao Duc K and Song Y S 2020 The key parameters that govern translation efficiency *Cell Syst.* 10 183–92
- [28] Esteban R and Fujimura T 2003 Launching the yeast 23S RNA Narnavirus shows 5' and 3' cis-acting signals for replication *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* 100 2568–73
- [29] Esteban R, Vega L and Fujimura T 2005 Launching of the yeast 20S RNA narnavirus by expressing the genomic or antigenomic viral RNA *in vivo J. Biol. Chem.* 280 33725–34