EXEMPLIFICATION BIBLIOGRAPHY

Alcock, L.J. & Weber, K., (in press), Referential and syntactic approaches to proof: Case studies from a transition-to-proof course. *Research in Collegiate Mathematics Education*.

Anthony, G. (1994). The role of the worked example in learning mathematics. In A. Jones *et al*. (Eds.) *SAME papers* (pp. 129-143), Hamilton, New Zealand: University of Waikato.

Asiala, M. Brown, A. DeVries, D. Dubinsky, E. Mathews D. and Thomas K. (1996). A framework for research and curriculum development in undergraduate mathematics education, *Research in Collegiate Mathematics Education II*, *CBMS Issues in Mathematics Education*, **6**, p1-32.

Atkinson, R. Derry, S. Renkl, A. & Wortham, D. (2000) Learning from examples: Instructional principles from the worked examples research *Review of Educational Research*; 70(2) 181-214

Balacheff N. (1991). Treatment of refutations: Aspects of the complexity of a constructivist approach to mathematics learning. In E. von Glasersfeld (Ed.), *Radical Constuctivism in Mathematics Education* (pp. 89-110). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer (Mathematics Education Library, Vol. 7).

Balacheff, N. (1988). Aspects of Proof in Pupils' Practice of School Mathematics. In D. Pimm, (Ed.), *Mathematics, Teachers and Children*, (p216-235), London: Hodder and Stoughton.

Bell, A. (1976). *The Learning of General Mathematical Strategies*. PhD thesis. Nottingham, UK: University of Nottingham.

Benbachir, A. & Zaki, M. (2001). Production of examples and couter-examples in analysis: Case study in first year of university. *Educational Studies in Mathematics, 47,* 273-295, in French.

Bills, L. & Rowland, T. (1999). Examples, Generalisation and Proof. In L. Brown (Ed.) *Making Meaning in Mathematics*. Advances in Mathematics Education 1. (p103-116) York, UK: QED.

Bills, L. (1996). The Use of examples in the teaching and learning of mathematics. In Puig L. & Gutierrez A. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 20th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (v.2 p81–88). Valencia, Spain.

Bratina, T. A. (1986). Can your students give examples? *Mathematics Teacher, 79* (7), 524-526.

Brown, L. and Coles,A. (2000). Same/different: a 'natural' way of learning mathematics. In T. Nakahara and M. Koyama (Eds.) *Proceedings of the 24th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*, pp.2-153-2-160, Hiroshima, Japan.

Butts, T. (1982). Learning by example. *Mathematics Teacher, 75* (2), 109-113.

Chapman, O. (1997). Metaphors in the Teaching of Mathematical Problem Solving. *Educational Studies in Mathematics.* 32. p201-228.

Charles, R. (1980). Exemplification and characterization moves in the classroom teaching of geometry Concepts. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education.* 11(1), p10-21.

Chi, M. T. H. and Bassok, M. (1989). Learning from Examples via self-explanations. In L. B. Resnick (Ed.), *Knowing, Learning & Instruction: Essays in Honor of Robert Glaser.* Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Chi, M. T. H., Bassok, M. W., Lewis, P., Reiman, P., & Glasser, R. (1989). Self-explanations: How Students Study and Use Examples in Learning to Solve Problems. *Cognitive Science.* 13 p145-182.

Colburn, W. (1826). *Intellectual arithmetic: upon the inductive method of instruction*. Boston, USA: Reynolds & Co.

Courant, R. (1981). Reminiscences from Hilbert's Gottingen, *Mathematical Intelligencer*. 3 (4), p154–164.

Dahlberg, R. and Housman, D. (1997). Facilitating learning events through example generation. *Educational Studies in Mathematics* 33, 283-299.

Davis, R. (1984). *Learning mathematics: the cognitive science approach to mathematics education*. Norwood, NJ, USA: Ablex.

Dienes, Z. (1960). *Building up Mathematics*. London, UK: Hutchinson Educational.

Dreyfus, T (1991). Advanced Mathematical Thinking Processes. in D. Tall (Ed.) *Advanced Mathematical Thinking.* p25-41, Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.

Dreyfus, T., & Tsamir, P. (2004). Ben's consolidation of knowledge structures about infinite sets. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior.* 23. p271-300.

Dubinsky, E. (1991). Reflective Abstraction in Mathematical Thinking, in D. Tall (Ed.) *Advanced Mathematical Thinking*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer.

Even, R. (1990). Subject matter knowledge for teaching and the case of functions. *Educational Studies in Mathematics 21*, 521-544.

Feynman, R. (1985). *"Surely you're joking, Mr Feynman!": adventures of a curious character*. New York, USA: Norton.

Fischbein, E. (1987). *Intuition in Science and Mathematics: an educational approach*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Reidel.

Fischbein, E. (1993). The theory of figural concepts. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 24 (2), 139-162.

Freudenthal, H. (1983). *Didactical Phenomenology of Mathematical Structures*, Dordrecht: Reidel.

Gagné, R. (1985). *The Conditions of Learning* (4th edn). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Gick, M. L., and Holyoak, K. J. (1980). Analogical Problem Solving. *Cognitive Psychology, 12*, 306 – 355.

Gillings, R. (1972). *Mathematics in the Time of the Pharaohs*. Reprinted 1982. New York, USA: Dover.

Gray, E. & Tall, D. (1994) Duality, Ambiguity, and Flexibility: a proceptual view of simple arithmetic, *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 25 (2), p116–140.

Hazzan, O. & Zazkis, R. (1997) Constructing knowledge by constructing examples for mathematical concepts. In E. Pehkonen (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 21st Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*, *Vol 4*, (pp299-306) Lahti, Finland.

Hazzan, O., & Zazkis, R. (1999). A perspective on "give an example" tasks as opportunities to construct links among mathematical concepts. *Focus on Learning Problems in Mathematics, 21*(4), 1-14.

Hendrix, G. (1961). Learning by discovery. *Mathematics Teacher, 290-299.*

Hershkowitz, R. (1990). Psychological Aspects of Learning Geometry. In P.Nesher, & J. Kilpatrick (Eds.), *Mathematics and Cognition*, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Hershkowitz, R., Schwarz, B., & Dreyfus, T. (2001). Abstraction in context: Epistemic actions. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 32*, 195-222.

Holyoak, K. J. and Koh, K. (1987). Surface and Structural Similarity in Analogical Transfer. *Memory & Cognition, 15*(4), 332 - 340.

Kinach, B. M. (2002b). A Cognitive Strategy for Developing Pedagogical Content Knowledge in the Secondary Mathematics Methods Course: Toward a Model of Effective Practice. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 18*, 51-71

Lakatos, I. (1976). *Proofs and Refutations: the logic of mathematical discovery*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Leinhardt, G. (2001). Instructional explanations: A commonplace for teaching and location for contrast. In V. Richardson (Ed.). *Handbook of Research on Teaching* (4th edition), p333-357. Washington, DC, USA: American Educational Research Association.

Leinhardt, G., & Schwarz, B. (1997). Seeing the Problem: An Explanation from Pólya. *Cognition and Instruction, 15*(3), 395-434.

Leinhardt, G., Zaslavsky, O., & Stein, M. K. (1990).Functions, Graphs, and Graphing: Tasks, Learning, and Teaching. *Review of Educational Research, 60* (1), 1-64.

MacHale, D. (1980). The predictability of counterexamples. *American Mathematical Monthly*. 87 p752.

MacVicar, D. (1879). *A complete arithmetic, oral and written: designed for the use of common and high schools and collegiate institutes.* Montreal, Canada: Dawson Bros.

Mariotti, M-A (April, 1992). The dialectical process between figures and definition in social interaction in the classroom, *Second Italian-German Bilateral Symposium on Mathematics Education*, Orbeck.

Marton, F., and Tsui, A. (Eds.) (2004). *Classroom Discourse and Space for Learning.* Marwah, NJ, USA: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Mason, J., & Pimm, D. (1984). Generic examples: seeing the general in the particular. *Educational Studies in Mathematics.* 15. 227-289.

Mason, J., & Watson, A. (2001). Stimulating students to construct boundary examples. *Questiones Mathematicae,* Suppl. 1, Supplement, 123-132.

Minsky, M. (1975). A Framework For Representing Knowledge. in P. Winston, (Ed.) *The Psychology of Computer Vision*. New York USA: McGraw Hill. p211-280.

Movshovitz-Hadar, N. (1988): Stimulating presentations of theorems followed by responsive proofs. *For the Learning of Mathematics*. 8 (2) p12-30.

Movshovitz-Hadar, N. (2002). *The "Because for example…" phenomenon, or Transparent Pseudo-Proofs Revisited.* Paper presented at the International Congress of Mathematics, in Beijing, China.

Mwangi, W., & Sweller, J. (1998). Learning to solve compare word problems: The effect of example format and generating self-explanations. *Cognition and Instruction, 16*(2), 173-199.

Niemi, D. (1996). Assessing Conceptual Understanding in Mathematics: Representation, Problem Solution, Justification, and Explanation. *The Journal of Educational Research.* 89 (6) p351-363.

Paas, F. G. W. C. and van Merrienboer, J. J. G. (1994). Variability of worked examples of geometrical problem solving skills: A cognitive load approach. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 86*, 122 – 133.

Peled, I., & Awawdy-Shahbari, J. (2003). Improving decimal number conception by transfer from fractions to decimals. *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference for the Psychology of Mathematics Education.* 4 p1-6

Peled, I., & Zaslavsky, O. (1997). Counter-examples that (only) prove and Counter-examples that (also) explain. *FOCUS on Learning Problems in mathematics,* 19 (3), p49-61.

Pestalozzi, J. (1801). (Ed. E. Cooke, Trans. L. Holland & F. Turner 1894). How Gertrude Teaches Her Children: an attempt to help mothers to teach their own children and an account of the method. London: Sonnenschen.

Petty, O. S., & Jansson, L. C. (1987). Sequencing examples and non-examples to facilitate concept attainment. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education,* 18 (2) p112-125.

Pirie, S., & Kieren, T. (1994). Growth in Mathematical Understanding: How can we characterise it and how can we represent it? *Educational Studies in Mathematics,* 26 p165-190.

Polya, G. (1945). *How To Solve It: a new aspect of mathematical method*. Princeton, USA: Princeton University Press.

Polya, G. (1962). *Mathematical Discovery: on understanding, learning, and teaching problem solving*, Combined edition. New York, USA: Wiley.

Porter, M. & Housman, D. (????). Creating and using examples, nonexamples, and counterexamples

Record, R. (1543/1969) The Ground of Arts: teaching the perfect worke and practise of arithmeticke, both in whole numbers and fractions. London: Harper, Thomas. (Reprinted 1969) Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Da Capo Press.

Reed , S. K. and Bolstad, C. A. (1991). Use of examples and procedures in problem solving. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition, 17*, 753 – 766.

Reed, S. Dempster, A. & Ettinger, M. (1985). Usefulness of analogous solutions for solving algebra word problems. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition, 11,* p106-125.

Reed, S. K. (1987). A structure-mapping model for word problems. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory & Cognition, 13*, 124–139.

Reimann P. & Schult, T. (1996). Turning Examples Into Cases: acquiring knowledge structures for analogical problem-solving. *Educational Psychologist*, 31 (2) p123-140.

Renkl, A. (2002) Worked-out examples: instructional explanations support learning by self-explanations *Learning and Instruction* 12, p529–556

Rissland, E. (1991). Example-based reasoning. In J. F. Voss, D. N. Parkins, & J. W. Segal (Eds.), *Informal Reasoning in Education* (p187-208). Hillsdale, NJ, USA: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Rissland-Michener, E. (1978). Understanding Understanding Mathematics. *Cognitive Science, 2,* p361-383.

Rosch, E. (1975). Cognitive Representations of Semantic Categories, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General.* 104, p192-322.

Ross, B. H. (1987). This is like that: The use of earlier problems and the separation of similarity effects. *Journal of Experimental Psycholog: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 13*(4), 629 - 639.

Rowland, T. (1998). Conviction, explanation and generic examples. In A. Olivier & K. Newstead (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 22nd Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*, *v.4,* (pp. 65-72). Stellenbosch, South Africa: University of Stellenbosch.

Rowland, T. (2001) Generic proofs: setting a good example. *Mathematics Teaching*, 177, 40-43

Rowland, T. (2001). Generic proofs in number theory. In S. Campbell and R. Zazkis (Eds.), *Learning and Teaching Number Theory: Research in Cognition and Instruction*. (pp. 157-184). Westport, CT: Ablex Publishing.

Rowland, T., Thwaites, A., & Huckstep, P. (2003). Novices' Choice of Examples in the Teaching of Elementary Mathematics. In A. Rogerson (Ed.), *Proc. of the International Conference on the Decidable and the Undecidable in Mathematics Education.* p242-245. Brno Czech Republic.

Rumelhart, D. (1989). Toward a microstructural account of human reasoning. In S. Vosniadou & A. Ortony (Eds.), *Similarity and Analogical Reasoning* (p298-312). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Schwarz, B. & Hershkowitz, R. (1999). Prototypes: Brakes or levers in learning the function concept? *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*. 30 (4) p362-389.

Silver, E. A. (1979). Student Perceptions of Relatedness among Mathematical Word Problems. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 10*, 195 - 210.

Skemp, R. (1969). *The Psychology of Learning Mathematics*. Harmondsworth, UK: Penguin.

Skemp, R. R. (1979). *Intelligence, Learning and Action*, Chichester: Wiley.

Sowder, L. (1980). Concept and Principle Learning. In R. Shumway (Ed.)*, Research in Mathematics Education*, (p244-285). Reston, VA, USA: NCTM.

Stark, R., Mandl, H., Gruber, H. and Renkl, A. (2002). Conditions and effects of example elaboration. *Learning and Instruction, 12*, 39 – 60.

Sweller, J. & Cooper, G. (1985). The use of worked examples as a substitute for problem solving in learning algebra. *Cognition & Instruction*, 2, 58-89.

Sweller, J., van Merrienboer, J. J. G., and Paas, F. G. W. C. (1998). Cognitive architecture and instructional design. *Educational Psychology Review, 10*(3), 251 - 296.

Tall, D. & Vinner, S. (1981). Concept Image and Concept Definition in Mathematics with Particular Reference to Limits and Continuity. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*. 12 (2) p151-169.

Tall, D., Thomas, M., Davis, G., Gray, E., & Simpson, A. (2000). What is the object of the encapsulation of a process?. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 18*(2), 223-241.

Thompson, P. W. (2003). Didactic objects and didactic models in radical constructivism. In K. Gravemeijer, R. Lehrer, R. B. van Oers, & L. Verschaffel (Eds.), *Symbolizing, Modelling and Tool Use in Mathematics Education* (pp. 191-212). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer (Mathematics Education Library, Vol. 30).

Tsamir, P. (2003). From "easy" to "difficult" or vice versa: The case of infinite sets. *Focus on Learning Problems in Mathematics,* 25 p1-16.

Tsamir, P., & Dreyfus, T. (2002). Comparing infinite sets – a process of abstraction: The case of Ben. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior,* 21 p1-23.

Tsamir, P., & Dreyfus, T. (2005). How fragile is consolidated knowledge? Ben's comparisons of infinite sets. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior,* 24 p15-38.

Tsamir, P., & Tirosh, D. (1999). Consistency and representations: The case of actual infinity. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education,* 30 p213-219.

Van den Heuvel-Panhuizen, M. Middleton, J. & Streefland, L. 1995, Student-Generated problems: easy and difficult problems on percentage, *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 15 (3) p21-27.

Vinner, S (1983) Concept image, concept definition and the notion of function *International Journal of Mathematics Education in Science and Technology* 14(3) p293-305.

Walther, G. (1984). Action proofs vs. illuminating examples? *For the Learning of Mathematics, 4*(3), 10-12.

Walther, G. (1986). Illuminating examples: An aspect of simplification in the teaching of mathematics *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 17*(3), 263-273.

Watson A. & Mason, J. (2002b). Student-Generated Examples in the Learning of Mathematics. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*. 2 (2) p237-249.

Watson, A. & Mason, J. (2006). Seeing an Exercise as a Single Mathematical Object: Using Variation To Structure Sense-Making. *Mathematics Thinking and Learning*. 8(2) p 91-111.

Watson, A. & Mason, J. (1998). *Questions and Prompts for Mathematical Thinking,* Derby: Association of Teachers of Mathematics.

Watson, A. & Mason, J. (2002). Extending example spaces as a learning/teaching strategy in mathematics. In A. Cockburn & E. Nardi (Eds.), *Proceedings of PME 26*, University of East Anglia, Vol 4., p377.

Watson, A. & Mason, J. (2005). *Mathematics as a Constructive Activity: learners generating examples*. Mahwah, NJ, USA: Erlbaum.

Waywood, A. (1994). Informal writing-to-learn as a dimension of a student profile, *Educational Studies in Mathematics, 27*, 321-340.

Weber, K. & Alcock, L. (2005). Using warranted implications to understand and validate proofs. *For the Learning of Mathematics.* 25(1) p34-38.

Weber, K. & L. Alcock (2004). Semantic and syntactic proof productions. *Educational Studies in Mathematics.* 56, p209-234.

Whitehead, A. (1911). *An Introduction to Mathematics*. (reprinted 1948) Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Wilson, S. (1986). Feature frequency and the use of negative instances in a geometric task. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education.* 17 (2) p130-139.

Wilson, S. (1990). Inconsistent ideas related to definitions and examples. *Focus on Learning problems in Mathematics,* 12 p31-47.

Winston, P. H. (1975). Learning structural descriptions from examples. In P. Winston (Ed.) *The Psychology of Computer Vision*. New York, USA: McGraw-Hill.

Zaslavsky, O. & Lavie, O. (2005). *Teachers' Use of Instructional Examples*. Paper presented at the 15th ICMI study conference: The Professional Education and Development of Teachers of Mathematics. Águas de Lindóia, Brazil.

Zaslavsky, O. & Lavie, O. (submitted). *What is entailed in choosing an instructional example?*.

Zaslavsky, O. & Peled, I. (1996). Inhibiting Factors in Generating Examples by Mathematics Teachers and Student-Teachers: The Case of Binary Operation. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 27 (1) p67-78.

Zaslavsky, O. & Ron G. (1998). Students' understanding of the role of counter-examples. In Olivier A. & Newstead K. (Eds.), *Proc. of PME22,. 4,* p225-232. Stellenbosch, South Africa: University of Stellenbosch.

Zaslavsky, O. & Shir, K. (2005). Students' conceptions of a mathematical definition. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 36.*

Zaslavsky, O. & Zodik, I. (in progress). *The merits and dangers of random selection of examples for mathematics teaching.*

Zaslavsky, O. (1995). Open-Ended Tasks as a Trigger for Mathematics Teachers' Professional Development. *For the Learning of Mathematics.* 15(3) p15-20.

Zaslavsky, O. (1997). Conceptual Obstacles in the Learning of Quadratic Functions. *FOCUS on Learning Problems in Mathematics, 19* (1), 20-44.

Zazkis, R. & Liljedahl, P. (2002). Arithmetic sequence as a bridge among conceptual fields. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education, 2*(1). 91-118.

Zazkis, R. (2001). From Arithmetic to Algebra via Big Numbers. in H. Chick, K. Stacey, J. Vincent & J. Vincent (Eds). *Proceedings of the 12th ICMI Study Conference: The Future of the Teaching and Learning of Algebra*. Melbourne, Australia: University of Melbourne, p676-681.

Zazkis, R. (2004) Representing numbers: Prime and irrational. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*. 1-11.