

The decorated lattice of biased dessins

Jonathan Fine

Open University

July 2014

Abstract

Dessins are special types of pairs of permutations of the same set. The absolute Galois group Γ acts on dessins. This is deep and important.

I'm going to explain how to add a little more information to a dessin, called a bias. Then all biased dessins form a lattice, and Γ acts on this lattice.

We can decorate this lattice, to produce *the decorated lattice of biased dessins* \mathcal{L} . The absolute Galois group Γ acts on \mathcal{L} . This is important.

This leads to interesting questions, the most important of which being: Is the automorphism group of \mathcal{L} equal to Γ ? (This is likely to be hard.)

Why do biased dessins appear in the Goulden-Jackson-Rattan study of Stanley's polynomial formula for symmetric group characters?

Also interesting is recent activity in dessins coming from quantum field theory. (Eg A006206: David Broadhurst.) And Γ action on knot invariants.

Permutations, pairs of permutations, and dessins

We situate dessins in the theory of permutations. They're a bit like cycles.

Each permutation α of d objects can be decomposed into cycles, and hence induces a partition p_α of d . Cycles are irreducible.

The partition p_α determines α , up to a relabelling of the objects being permuted. This is called *relabelling equivalence*. Each α has a relabelling normal form, for example $(12345)(678)(9)$ if p_α is $5 + 3 + 1$.

Now let (α, β) be a *pair of permutations* (or *permpair* for short) on the same finite set E , called the *edges* of the pair of permutations.

We can relabel E to put α into normal form, and similarly for β . But only rarely can relabelling put both α and β into normal form at the same time.

A dessin is to a pair of permutations as a cycle is to a permutation. Two edges are in the same dessin if $e_2 = we_1$ for some word w in α and β .

Definition A *dessin* is an irreducible pair of permutations, considered up to relabelling equivalence. A permpair decomposes into dessins.

Product of dessins, and the lattice \mathcal{L}' of biased dessins

We will now define a join operation on ‘enhanced dessins’ and hence \mathcal{L}' .

Let R and S be dessins with edge sets E_R and E_S . The product $E_R \times E_S$ is the edge set for a permpair $R \times S$, via $\alpha_{R \times S}(r, s) = (\alpha_R r, \alpha_S s)$.

Usually $R \times S$ is reducible (e.g. when $R = S$, and R is not trivial) and so is not a dessin. Being able to choose an edge in $R \times S$ would solve this.

Definition A *biased dessin* is a dessin R with a *distinguished edge* e_R .

Definition If R and S are biased dessins, then the *join* $T = R \vee S$ is the component of $R \times S$ that contains $e_T = (e_R, e_S)$. T is a biased dessin.

The projection map $\pi : E_T \rightarrow E_R$ clearly (1) sends e_T to e_R , and (2) respects the action of α and β (i.e. $\pi(\alpha t) = \alpha(\pi t)$ etc.)

Definition For any biased dessins T and R we write $T \rightarrow R$ if there is such a map between their edges. There is at most one such map (easy).

Theorem The biased dessins are the nodes of a lattice \mathcal{L}' . The join $T = R \vee S$ is the least upper bound of R and S (for the \rightarrow partial order).

Galois action on biased dessins and invariance of \mathcal{L}'

The lattice \mathcal{L}' is important because (1) the absolute Galois group acts on its nodes (biased dessins), and (2) the group action leaves \mathcal{L}' unchanged.

We rely on deep results of Weil, Belyi, Grothendieck and others.

- There is a bijection between dessins and Belyi pairs (maps $M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1$ from a Riemann surface to \mathbb{P}_1 that are unramified away from $0, 1, \infty$).
- Such maps are defined over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the rationals. Thus the *absolute Galois group* Γ (automorphisms of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$) acts on dessins.

Lemma This bijection and the Γ -action extends to biased dessins and biased Belyi pairs (distinguished point in the fibre above $1/2 \in \mathbb{P}_1$).

Proof The usual proof carries through unchanged to this situation. \square

Theorem The lattice structure \mathcal{L}' is Γ -invariant.

Proof For biased dessins T and R there is a map $M_T \rightarrow M_R$ (unique if it exists) of covering spaces just in case there is a map $T \rightarrow R$. Thus, on biased Belyi pairs the lattice \mathcal{L}' comes from a Γ -invariant property. \square

Decorating \mathcal{L}' to obtain \mathcal{L} – nodes

We can decorate \mathcal{L}' in a Γ -invariant way. On this slide we decorate the nodes T , and on the next the maps $T \rightarrow R$.

First, we introduce γ , a third permutation that provides additional Γ -invariant information. For Belyi pairs $0, 1, \infty$ all have equal standing.

Belyi 0 and 1 on \mathbb{P}_1 corresponds to dessin α and β . Further, Belyi ∞ corresponds to dessin $\gamma = (\alpha\beta)^{-1}$. Therefore, treat α, β and γ similarly.

For each (biased) dessin T we have the permutation α which acts on the edges E_T and hence a partition $p_\alpha T$ on the (number of) edges in T .

Adding β and γ gives the *partition triple* $pT = (p_\alpha T, p_\beta T, p_\gamma T)$ of T .

Theorem The partition triple pT of a unbiased dessin T is Γ -invariant.

Proof This is the passport invariant of Lando and Zvonkin. □

Corollary Attaching to each node T of \mathcal{L}' the partition triple pT provides a Γ -invariant decoration of \mathcal{L}' .

Decorating \mathcal{L}' to obtain \mathcal{L} – maps (tricky so just \mathcal{L}_d)

Definition T_d is the ‘universal at most d -edged biased dessin’.

T_d is the smallest T such that $T \rightarrow R$ for any R with $\leq d$ edges. It is the join of all biased dessin with $\leq d$ edges. (Equivalent to Guillot’s H_d ?)

Definition Let C be a cycle on T_d . For $T_d \rightarrow R$ let C_R be image of C , and $m_C(R)$ the number of edges. Then m_C is the *multiplicity function*.

Definition Set $\mathcal{L}'_d = \{R \mid T_d \rightarrow R\}$. (It is the domain of m_C .)

Definition The *decoration* \mathcal{L}_d of \mathcal{L}'_d is the formal sum (or multiset) of the m_C , over all cycles C on T_d (for α , β and γ separately).

Theorem The decoration of \mathcal{L}'_d is Γ -invariant.

Proof By design, can be done using only local geometry of Belyi pairs. \square

Remark We can decorate \mathcal{L}' in a way that restricts to \mathcal{L}_d . (Exercise)

Problem Is the restriction map $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_{d+1}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_d)$ surjective?

Problem Is \mathcal{L}_d generated by the biased dessin with $\leq d$ edges?

Decorating \mathcal{L}' to obtain \mathcal{L} – maps (this is tricky)

This slide attaches a *partition map* to each map $T \rightarrow R$ in \mathcal{L}' . Up to *equivalence*, the system of partition maps is Γ -invariant.

Let p_1 and p_2 be $p_\alpha T$ and $p_\alpha R$ respectively, thought of as non-increasing maps $\mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Number the α -cycles of T with initial portion of \mathbb{N}_+ , etc. Each cycle of T maps to a cycle of R (because $T \rightarrow R$ and α commute). Hence, given a numbering of cycles, we get a map $p_{\alpha, R \rightarrow T} : \mathbb{N}_+$ to \mathbb{N}_+ .

This *partition map*, eventually trivial, is unique up to permutations of \mathbb{N}_+ that preserve $p_i : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. This defines *equivalence* of partition maps.

Definition \mathcal{L} is the lattice \mathcal{L}' of biased dessins, decorated with $p_\alpha T$ etc at each node, and the induced $p_{\alpha, T \rightarrow R}$ etc at each map $T \rightarrow R$.

Theorem The system of partition maps $p_{\alpha, T \rightarrow R}$ etc are Γ -invariant (up to renumbering of cycles equivalence).

Proof By design, can be done using only local geometry of Belyi pairs. \square

Corollary \mathcal{L} is Γ -invariant (up to equivalence).

Technical summary (and thank you for your attention)

We introduced the *decorated lattice* \mathcal{L} of *biased dessins*.

A dessin is an irreducible pair (α, β) of permutations (on the same finite set of edges). The Cartesian product of two dessins is only a pair of permutations. A *biased dessin* is a dessin with a chosen edge.

If R and S are biased dessins then the Cartesian product $R \times S$ has a distinguished component, denoted by $R \vee S$, which is also a biased dessin. This induces a lattice \mathcal{L}' with nodes the biased dessins.

The permutation α of a dessin T induces a partition $p_\alpha T$ of the (number of) edges of T , and similarly for β and $\gamma = (\alpha\beta)^{-1}$.

Each node T of \mathcal{L}' we decorate with $p_\alpha T, p_\beta T, p_\gamma T$. Each $T \rightarrow R$ (i.e. $T = T \vee R$) we decorate with *partition maps* $p_{\alpha, T \rightarrow R}$ (tricky).

This defines \mathcal{L} . Its automorphism group contains the absolute Galois group (easy, given known hard results). Are the two groups equal?